1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	-oOo-
4	CHERYL RUNNELS,
5	) 2:10-CV-00929-PMP-RJJ Plaintiff,
6	vs. ORDER
7	STATE OF NEVADA on relation of the
8	NEVADA DIVISION OF PAROLE ) AND PROBATION, an agency, )
9	
LO	Defendants. )
1	Before the Court for consideration is Plaintiff Cheryl Runnels First Motion
L2	to Amend Complaint (Doc. #17), filed on October 28, 2010. On November 10,
L3	2010, Defendant State of Nevada on relation of the Nevada Division of Parole and
L4	Probation filed a Motion to Strike Fugitive Document (Doc. #18), both motions are
L5	fully briefed.
L6	Having read and considered the foregoing, the Court concludes that
L7	although Plaintiff's effort to amend her Complaint is procedurally improper,
L8	principles of equity and fairness dictate that the relief requested should be granted.
L9	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Plaintiff Cheryl Runnels' First
20	Motion to Amend Complaint (Doc. #17) is <b>GRANTED</b> , and that Defendant State of
21	Nevada on relation of the Nevada Division of Parole and Probation's Motion to
22	Strike Fugitive Document (Doc. #18) is <b>DENIED</b> .
23	
24	DATED: December 22, 2010.
25	
26	PHILIP M. PRO United States District Judge